REMARKS

The specification has been objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) for allegedly introducing new matter into the disclosure. In particular, the Office Action objects to use of the term "computer storage readable medium" in the claims and asserts that the term is not defined within the specification. Applicants respectfully traverse the objection for at least the following reasons.

Page 10 of the present application recites memory 118 which may be used "to hold instructions that are being executed, such as program code, etc. The hard drive 114 is used as mass storage for programs and other data." Page 10, lines 21-25. Applicants submit that both memory and a hard drive are examples of a computer readable storage medium, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art; are part of Applicants' original disclosure as filed; and thus, are not new matter.

Additionally, Applicants note that the "[t]here is no requirement that the words in the claim must match those used in the specification disclosure. Applicants are given a great deal of latitude in how they choose to define their invention so long as the terms and phrases used define the invention with a reasonable degree of clarity and precision." MPEP 2173.05. For at least these reasons, the objection should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles W. Griggers, Reg. No. 47,283